

Last time's proof directly generalizes to (same exact proof)

Thm: (UCT): R any PID (e.g., \mathbb{Z} , any field), and C_\bullet a chain complex of free R -modules, G another R -module. Then, \exists SES

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(R)}^{(1)}(H_{n-1}(C_\bullet), G) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_R(C_\bullet, G)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(H_n(C_\bullet), G) \rightarrow 0$$

natural in C_\bullet and G , & split (not naturally split).

(R PID $\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow 0$ gives a proj. resolution of H_n , for instance).

In particular, if we begin with $C_\bullet(X; R) := C_\bullet(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$, and

$$C^\bullet(X; R) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_\bullet(X), R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C_\bullet(X; R), R) \quad (\text{why?})$$

In particular, we can now compute $H^*(X; R)$ in terms of $H_*(X; R)$ using UCT/R.

Special case: $R = k$ a field (i.e., \mathbb{Q} , $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, etc.) then any k -module M is automatically free hence projective. $\Rightarrow \text{Ext}_k^{(1)}(M, k) = 0$ (b/c $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ is a proj. resolution)

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{H^n(X; k) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_k(H_n(X; k), k) = H_n(X; k)^\vee} \quad \boxed{\text{(over a field)}}.$$

Künneth Theorems in homology and cohomology

Goal: understand relationship between H_*/H^* of $X \times Y$ and H_*/H^* of individual factors.

over a field, the result will state , tensor of graded abelian groups

$$\bullet H_*(X \times Y; k) \cong H_*(X; k) \otimes H_*(Y; k)$$

$$\text{(means } H_n(X \times Y; k) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H_i(X; k) \otimes H_j(Y; k)\text{)}$$

• similar for cohomology, assuming at least one of X, Y finite type

(\mathbb{Z} finite type if each $H_i(\mathbb{Z}; k)$ is finitely generated). (e.g., \mathbb{Z} cw cplx w/ finitely many cells in each dimension)

(basic problem is that $(V \otimes W)^\vee \not\cong V^\vee \otimes W^\vee$ in gen'l, it is if one of V, W is fin. dim'l).

• In gen'l over R , there's a map which fails to be an \cong (coheres to $\text{Tor}(-, -)$)

Künneth's an immediate consequence of two results:

today → (1) The Eilenberg-Zilber theorem says $C_*(X \times Y) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{ch. htpy equiv}} C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$
 can take \otimes of chain complexes & get a chain complex.

(2) The algebraic Künneth theorem comparing $H_*(C_* \otimes D_*)$ to $H_*(C_*) \otimes H_*(D_*)$ (a Tor term appears).
 generalizes homology acc'n by allowing D_* to not just be \mathbb{R} .

(reference: [Bredon])

Def: C_* and D_* chain complexes over R ($= \mathbb{Z}$ for now);
 define $C_* \otimes_{(R)} D_*$ by $(C_* \otimes D_*)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C_i \otimes D_j$, with \leftarrow tensor of graded abelian groups

$$\partial_{C_* \otimes D_*}(a \otimes b) = \partial a \otimes b + (-1)^{\deg(a)=i} a \otimes \partial b.$$

\uparrow degree i \uparrow degree j

can think of this as $\partial_{C_* \otimes D_*} = \partial \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial$, using the convention.

$$\text{that } (f \otimes g)(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{\deg(g)\deg(a)} f(a) \otimes g(b)$$

Recall, a chain homotopy equivalence between A_* and B_* consists of

$$A_* \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{g} \end{array} B_* \quad f, g \text{ chain maps (e.g., } f \circ \partial_A = \partial_B \circ f \text{)} \\ g \circ \partial_B = \partial_A \circ g$$

$$\text{with } f \circ g \simeq_{\text{ch. htpy}} \text{id}_{B_*} \quad g \circ f \simeq_{\text{ch. htpy}} \text{id}_{A_*}$$

⇒ $[f], [g]$ induce inverse isos. on $H_*(A) \xrightleftharpoons{\cong} H_*(B)$.

Theorem: (Eilenberg-Zilber): There is a chain homotopy equivalence (over any coeffs. R)
 $C_*(X \times Y) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{ch. htpy equiv}} C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$, which is natural (functorial in X and Y),
 as unique up to chain homotopy.
 (specific model often called) "cross product" (Eilenberg-Zilber map).

To start, we need to define the maps. Let's begin with the cross product

$$\times : C_p(X) \otimes C_q(Y) \longrightarrow C_{p+q}(X \times Y).$$

How to define?

Given a generator $\sigma: \Delta^p \rightarrow X$, $\tau: \Delta^q \rightarrow Y$, want " $\sigma \times \tau$ " $\in C_{p+q}(X \times Y)$.

• Take the naive product $(\sigma, \tau): \Delta^p \times \Delta^q \rightarrow X \times Y$.

• if $p=0$ or $q=0$ then $\Delta^p \times \Delta^q \cong \Delta^{p+q}$ ($\Delta^p \times \Delta^0 = \Delta^p$).

in this case, define $\sigma \times \tau := (\sigma, \tau)$

• In general, $\Delta^p \times \Delta^q$ is not a simplex, but it can be triangulated $\Delta^p \times \Delta^q = \bigcup K_i: \Delta^{p+q} \rightarrow \Delta^p \times \Delta^q$



$\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$
can be triangulate.

roughly define $\sigma \times \tau := \sum (\sigma, \tau) |_{K_i}$.

Special case: 'prism operator' involves triangulating $\Delta^p \times \Delta^1$ for all p .

(used to show $f \simeq g \Rightarrow f_{\#} \simeq_{\text{ch. equiv.}} g_{\#}$)

options: has some advantages too (e.g., \times is strictly associative)

• explicit formula (combinatorial, generalizes 'prism', get one $p+q$ simplex for each "shuffle" of (v_0, \dots, v_p) & (w_0, \dots, w_q) vertices of Δ^p & Δ^q)

we'll take this approach

• argue that such a map has to exist for general reasons, using "method of acyclic models" (proof technique used a lot in comparing homology theories: singular vs. simplicial vs. cellular etc.)

Thm (existence of \times): For each p, q , \exists bilinear

$\times: C_p(X) \times C_q(Y) \rightarrow C_{p+q}(X \times Y)$ such that:

(1) For $x_0: \Delta^0 \rightarrow X$, $x_0 \times \tau = (x_0, \tau): \Delta^{0+q} = \Delta^q \rightarrow X \times Y$

Similarly, for $y_0: \Delta^0 \rightarrow Y$, $\sigma \times y_0 = (\sigma, y_0)$.

(2) (naturality): If $f: X \rightarrow X'$, $g: Y \rightarrow Y'$ induces $(f, g): X \times Y \rightarrow X' \times Y'$,

then $(fg)_{\#}(a \times b) = (f_{\#}a) \times (g_{\#}b)$.

(3) (chain map / boundary formula): \times is a chain map $C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y) \rightarrow C_*(X \times Y)$.

$\partial(a \times b) = \partial a \times b + (-1)^{\deg(a)} a \times \partial b$.

PF: Induction on p, q .

- base case: have such maps when $p=0$ or $q=0$.

- Inductive step: fix $p > 0$ and $q > 0$ (so $p+q > 1$) & say \times has been defined for all smaller $(p+q)$'s for all X and Y .

Want to define $\sigma \times \tau$ for $\sigma \in C_p(X)$, $\tau \in C_q(Y)$.

First define \times on a very special p -simplex \times a very special q -simplex in special spaces:

namely consider $i_p^0: \Delta^p \xrightarrow{id} \Delta^p \rightsquigarrow$ give elements in $C_p(\Delta^p)$ & $C_q(\Delta^q)$ respectively.
 $i_q: \Delta^q \xrightarrow{id} \Delta^q$

Let's try to first define $i_p \times i_q \in C_{p+q}(\Delta^p \times \Delta^q)$. How?

By (3) we want $i_p \times i_q$ to satisfy:

$$(*) \quad \partial(i_p \times i_q) = \partial i_p \times i_q + (-1)^p i_p \times \partial i_q$$

not yet defined all this expression α .

both inductively defined, as we've defined \times on all $C_k(X) \otimes C_l(Y)$ for $k+l < p+q$.

Compute $\partial(RHS) = \partial(\alpha)$:

$$= \cancel{\partial \partial i_p \times i_q} + (-1)^{p-1} \partial i_p \times \partial i_p + (-1)^p \partial i_p \times \partial i_q + i_p \times \cancel{\partial \partial i_q} = 0$$

cancel.

So in fact α is a cycle in $C_{p+q-1}(\Delta^p \times \Delta^q)$.

We want $\alpha = \partial\beta$, i.e., want α to be a boundary.

Since $p+q-1 > 0$ and $\Delta^p \times \Delta^q$ is contractible, $H_{p+q-1}(\Delta^p \times \Delta^q) = 0$, so

in fact \exists a chain β with $\partial\beta = \alpha$.

Pick any such chain & call it $i_p \times i_q$.

What to do for a general $\sigma: \Delta^p \rightarrow X$, $\tau: \Delta^q \rightarrow Y$? In fact, $\sigma \times \tau$ is forced

by naturality: note that as an element of $C_p(X)$, $\sigma = \sigma_{\#} \circ i_p$, $\sigma_{\#}: C_p(\Delta^p) \rightarrow C_p(X)$
 $i_p \in C_p(\Delta^p)$.

$$\Delta^p \xrightarrow{i_p = id} \Delta^p \xrightarrow{\sigma} X$$

Similarly, $\tau = \tau_{\#} \circ i_q$.

Hence if $(\sigma, \tau): \Delta^r \times \Delta^s \rightarrow X \times Y$ is the product map, by naturality (2), we get:

$$\sigma \times \tau = (\sigma \# i_p) \times (\tau \# i_q) \xrightarrow{\text{naturality}} (\sigma, \tau) \# (\underbrace{i_p \times i_q}_{\substack{\text{defined above!}}})$$

(if defined)

In order for naturality to hold, we must define $\sigma \times \tau := (\sigma, \tau) \# (i_p \times i_q)$.

($i_p: \Delta^p \rightarrow \Delta^p$, $i_q: \Delta^q \rightarrow \Delta^q$ are the "models").

Check that this definition satisfies the boundary formula:

$$\text{compute } \partial(\sigma \times \tau) = \partial((\sigma, \tau) \# (i_p \times i_q)) = (\sigma, \tau) \# (\partial(i_p \times i_q))$$

$$\xrightarrow[\text{boundary formula for } i_p \times i_q]{=} (\dots) = \dots = \partial\sigma \times \tau + (-1)^{\deg(\sigma)} \sigma \times \partial\tau.$$

(exercise). □