

(meaning \Rightarrow R-orientation)

Last time: defined notion of R-orientable, $\forall R$, for a manifold M^n . (connected)

- R-orientation \Leftrightarrow section of $\pi_R \rightarrow M$, $\pi_R = \frac{1}{x} H_n(M/x; R)$,
s.t., fibers of this section π_x generate $H_n(M/x; R) \forall x$.
(w/ topology)
- (2)-orientable \Leftrightarrow orientation double cover $\tilde{M} \xrightarrow{2:1} M$ has 2 components.
- any M is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -orientable.

More generally:

- An orientable manifold is R-orientable for all R.
- A non-orientable manifold is still R-orientable if R contains a unit of order 2.
(e.g., if $2=0$ in R).

(about orientations)

Main Theorem: M^n connected manifold, R as before can think of as $H_n(M/x; R)$

(a) If M is compact and R-orientable, then $H_n(M; R) \rightarrow H_n(M/x; R) \cong R$
is an isomorphism for every $x \in M$.

(b) If M is compact & non-R-orientable, then $H_n(M; R) \rightarrow H_n(M/x; R) \cong R$
is injective with image $2\text{-Tors}(R) = \{r \in R \mid 2r=0\}$ for all $x \in M$

(c) If M is non-compact, then $H_n(M; R) = 0$.

(d) $H_i(M; R) = 0$ for $i > n$.

In particular:

- For a cpct connected manifold M^n , $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or 0 depending on whether M is orientable.

(ex: $H_2(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\text{by CW homology}}{=} 0$ so $\mathbb{R}P^2$ not orientable. $H_3(\mathbb{R}P^3; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ so $\mathbb{R}P^3$ is orientable).

either way if M cpct, connected, $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Def'n: M orientable and compact. An element of $H_n(M; \mathbb{R})$ whose image in $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ generates for all x is called a fundamental class for M with \mathbb{R} -coeffs, denoted $[M]$. (note this is a choice).

A fund. class $(M) \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is a generator, and is equivalent, for a cpct. manifold, to a choice of orientation (as we'll see).

Cor: A fund. class $[M]$ w/ \mathbb{R} -coeffs. exists iff M is cpct. and \mathbb{R} -orientable.

(\Leftarrow Then, \Rightarrow Say $[M]$ is a fund. class; since $[M] \neq 0$, M cpct, let x be its image in $H_n(M; \mathbb{R})$.

observe (exercise): $x \mapsto (u_x, x)$ is an orientation of M .
i.e., is continuous.

More technical statement (than theorem), implies main theorem:

M^n ^{connected} manifold, A closed subset of M , and given $M_R \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, consider
(not nec. cpct.) $(M_R)|_A := \pi^{-1}(A)$; have $(M_R)|_A \xrightarrow{\pi|_A} A$, & denote its sections by $\Gamma(A; (M_R)|_A)$.

Len: (a) there is a bijection, for A compact:

$$\Gamma(A; (M_R)|_A) \xleftarrow[\int_A]{1:1} H_n(M|A; \mathbb{R})$$

S_{M_R}
defined by

$$S_{M_R}: x \mapsto (\alpha_A)|_x$$

$$\longleftarrow \alpha_A$$

denotes image of α_A under $H_n(M|A; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_n(M|x; \mathbb{R})$

(For A not necessarily compact — we won't prove this case —)

$$(*) \quad \Gamma_c(A; (M_R)|_A) \xleftarrow[\int_A]{\cong} H_n(M|A; \mathbb{R})$$

sections w/ cpct. support, meaning

$\int \equiv 0$ for x outside compact

(defined as above).

$s_x = 0$ for x outside a compact set in base.

(b) $H_i(M|A; R) = 0$ for $i > n$, A closed.

Claim: Lem \Rightarrow Main Theorem. Assume Lemma, for all A .

• part (b) implies ($A=M$) $H_i(M; R) = 0$ $i > n$.

• if M is non-compact, observe that

$\Gamma_c(M; M_R) = 0$, because a section of a cover space (if it exists) is determined on any connected component by what it does at a single point (cover space theory)

(M compact, set $A=M$)

• It suffices by Lemma to study restr.

$$H_n(M; R) \cong \Gamma(M; M_R) \xrightarrow{\text{restr.}} (M_R)_x := H_n(M|x; R) \cong R.$$

$$s \longmapsto s_x.$$

\Rightarrow restr is always injective, b/c any section if it exists is determined by its value at a point (M is connected), by cover space theory.

- If M is orientable, and $r \in R$ then there is a section of M_R taking value r at $(M_R)_x$, b/c $M_R \cong \begin{cases} \tilde{M} \\ M \end{cases}$ and we can find a section of M over both \tilde{M} and M ;

hence over $M_r \subseteq M_R$.

- If M is not orientable, then we can only find a section of M_r when r is 2-torsion, hence the image of restr consists of 2-torsion. \square

Pf of technical Lemma: (sketch, in the case A is compact).

omit R from notation for this proof, for simplicity.

The idea is to induct on the size of A and M .

Let $P_M(A)$ be the statement that $J_A: H_n(M|A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma(A; M_R)|_A$ is an iso.

Claim 1*: If $P_M(A)$, $P_M(B)$, and $P_M(A \cap B)$ hold, then $P_M(A \cup B)$ holds.

Assume Claim 1 for now. Then, we can already reduce to the case of $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, A some cpt. set.

How? If $A \subseteq M$ cpt subset, can write $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$ where each A_i is cpt and contained in an open $\mathbb{R}^n \subset M$. (why? exercise).

Note first of all that if $A_i \subset \mathbb{R}^n \subset M$, then $P_M(A_i) \iff P_{\mathbb{R}^n}(A_i)$
(b/c by excision $H_n(M/A_i) \cong H_n(\mathbb{R}^n/A_i)$).

Assuming $P_{\mathbb{R}^n}(B)$ holds for any B cpt for a moment, suppose inductively that $P_M(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{m-1})$ and $P_M(A_m)$. The intersection $(A_1 \cap A_m) \cup \dots \cup (A_{m-1} \cap A_m)$ is again a union of $(m-1)$ cpt subsets of Euclidean charts, so $P_M((A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{m-1}) \cap A_m)$ holds too.

Then claim 1 $\Rightarrow P_M(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m)$ holds.

Next, note: for $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, $A = \text{convex}$ subset, then $P_{\mathbb{R}^n}(A)$ holds because we've already shown

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n(M/A) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(M/x) & (x \in A) & \\ \downarrow \mathcal{J}_A & \text{id} \cong \mathcal{J}_x \downarrow \cong & \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}_A \cong \cdot \\ \Gamma(A; M_p) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\text{restr.}} \Gamma(x; M_p) & & \\ & \text{(by contractibility.)} & \\ & \text{exercise} & \end{array}$$

What to do for an arbitrary compact set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$? If $A = \bigcup_{\text{finite}} \text{convex sets}$, we're done by Claim 1. (b/c intersection of convex sets is convex).

Idea is that any A can be 'approximated' by unions of convex sets, in the sense that $\exists E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots$ seq. of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n

with $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset E_3 \supset \dots$, each E_i is a (finite) union of convex sets, and $\bigcap E_i = A$.

(e.g., pick $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \dots \delta_i \rightarrow 0$, let E_i be any finite cover of A by δ_i -balls, and let E_k be intersection of E_{k-1} w/ any finite cover of A by δ_k -balls (intersections preserve the property of being a finite union of convex sets)).



How does this help?

Claim 2: If $P_M(A_i)$ holds for $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset A_3 \supset \dots$ seq. of cpt. subsets then $P_M(A = \bigcap A_i)$ holds.

(in light of above, it follows $P_{\mathbb{R}^n}(A)$ holds for any cpt. A hence $P_M(A)$ holds for any A).

Assuming Claim 2, we learn that since an arbitrary cpt. $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

$\bigcap_i E_i$ where each E_i is a union of convex sets — $P_M(A)$ holds for an arbitrary cpt. A .

Thus, modulo Claims 1 & 2, this proves the technical lemma, hence the Main theorem

□