

Block - TBA

Antecedents

Using deformation quantization to get Fukaya:

1) Bressler, Soibelman

2) Nast, Tsygan

3) Tsygan

4) Kapustin, A-branes and nc geometry

5) Kapustin-Witten

6) Polseello, Schapira (Kashiwara)

7) Tamarkin

pre-Fukaya 8) Guilloumin-Sternberg (associates certain Fourier-Integral ^(calculus) to Lagrangians in products)

9) Block, Getzler, Quantization of foliations.

Framework: (A^\bullet, d, c) a curved dga.

1) $d(ab) = da \cdot b + (-1)^a a \cdot db$ $A^k = 0 \quad k < 0.$

2) $d^2 = [c, \cdot], \quad c \in A^2$

3) $dc = 0$

Let E^\bullet be a graded f.g. projective module over $A = A^0$.

~~Structure~~ \mathbb{Z} -graded connection: $E: E^\bullet \rightarrow (E^\bullet \otimes_A A^\bullet)$

$$E(ea) = E(e)a + (-1)^e e da.$$

E is of global degree 1.

$$E = E^0 + E^1 + E^2 + \dots$$

$$E^k: E^\bullet \rightarrow E^{\bullet-k+1} \otimes_A A^k.$$

We say (E, E) is cohesive if $E \circ E(c) = -e \cdot c$.

\mathcal{P}_A denotes the dg category of cohesive modules $\mathcal{A} = (A^\bullet, d, c)$.

On X a complex manifold, $\mathcal{A} = (A^\bullet, \bar{\partial}, 0)$.

$E^\bullet =$ graded vector bundle,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E^0 &: E^0 \rightarrow E^{0+1} \\
 E^1 &: E^0 \rightarrow E^0 \otimes_A A^{0,1} \\
 E^0 E^1 + E^1 E^0 &= 0 \\
 E^0 E^0 = 0, E^1 E^1 &= 0
 \end{aligned}
 \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} E^0 \\ E^1 \\ E^0 E^1 + E^1 E^0 \\ E^0 E^0 = 0, E^1 E^1 = 0 \end{aligned}} \right\} \text{A complex of hol. vector bundles.}$$

For any coherent sheaf on X , you have a resolution by a cohesive module.

$$E^0 + E^1 + \dots$$

(don't always have one by locally free here).

$$E^0 \cdot E^0 = 0, E^1 \cdot E^0 + E^0 \cdot E^1 = 0.$$

$$E^0 E^2 + E^1 E^1 + E^2 E^0 = 0 \quad (\text{homotopy to } 0)$$

! coherence.

Theorem: \mathcal{P}_X is dg equivalent to the dg category of ~~complex~~ perfect complexes on X .

(Remark: this category of \mathcal{P}_X won't be saturated!)

Suppose we have X a complex manifold,

$$\alpha \in H^2(X; \mathcal{O}^*) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \quad \alpha \text{ goes to } 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{e^{2\pi i}} \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

then α pulls back to $H^2(X, \mathcal{O})$ and can be represented by

$$B \in A^{0,2}(X), \bar{\partial} B = 0$$

Theorem: $\mathcal{d} = (A^{0,2}(X), \bar{\partial}, B)$,

\mathcal{P}_X is dg-equivalent to twisted sheaves on (X, \mathcal{d}) .

Let V be a real vector space, $\Lambda \subseteq V$ a lattice, J a complex structure on V .

$X = V/\Lambda$ is a complex torus.

$$X^\vee = \bar{V}^\vee / \Lambda^\vee = \text{Pic}^0(X).$$

Schwartz Sequences
(equiv. by Fourier transform)

$$C^\infty(X^\vee) = \mathcal{S}(\Lambda) = A = \left\{ \sum a_\lambda \lambda \mid \sum (1 + |a_\lambda|)^k < \infty \right\}.$$

Take $B \in A^2(X)$, $dB = 0$,

$$B = B^{1,0} + B^{0,1} + B^{0,2} \stackrel{=}{=} \alpha$$

Form a $(A^{0,0}(X), \bar{\partial}, B^{0,2}) \leftarrow$ (defined in gerbe direction)

Kapustin-Orlov showed
in a physics calculation
that dual torus becomes:
 $(B^0, \bar{\partial}, 0) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

$$B \in A^2(X). \quad B \in \Lambda^2 V^*, \quad dB = 0,$$

Define $\sigma: V \times V \rightarrow U(1)$

$$\sigma(v_1, v_2) = e^{2\pi i B(v_1, v_2)}$$

$$\Lambda \in V, \quad \mathcal{L} \Lambda, \quad [\lambda_1][\lambda_2] = \sigma(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)[\lambda_1 + \lambda_2]$$

$$B = \mathcal{L}^*(\Lambda, \sigma),$$

Set $B^0 = B \otimes \Lambda^0 V_{1,0}$, $\bar{\partial} \lambda = 2\pi i \lambda D(\lambda)$, $D: V \rightarrow V_{1,0}$.

If $B=0$, $B^0 \equiv (A^0(X^*), \bar{\partial})$.

In general, Perfect category of a complex manifold is schwach

In the definition of cohomology module, just weaken the condition that E^0 is finitely generated.

(but keep projectivity).

Assume: (A^*, d, c) topological algebra (nuclear Fréchet)

E^0 is projective in the sense of being direct summands of $A^* \hat{\otimes} V$, $V =$ nuclear Fréchet vector space.

Such objects form ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_A$.

An object (M, \mathcal{M}) in ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_A$ gives a module over \mathcal{P}_A , call it \hat{h}_M (not exactly Yoneda).

Theorem: An object (M, \mathcal{M}) in ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_A$ is quasi-representable (i.e. M is quasi-isomorphic to h_E for $E \in \mathcal{P}_A$) if \mathcal{M}^0 is A -nuclear. (A -nuclear means approximable by finite-rank operators) $\exists k, T$ s.t. $\mathcal{M}^0 k + \mathcal{M}^0 = 1 - T$, T is A -nuclear.

Def: $T: M \rightarrow M$ is A -nuclear if $\exists \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}, \sum |\lambda_i| < \infty$.

$M_i \in \text{Hom}_A(M, A), n_i \in M$, both bounded.

$$T(n) = \sum \lambda_i \cdot n_i \circ m_i(m)$$

(Grove's direct image theorem)

Corollary: If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism of complex manifolds, then

$$f_*: H_0 P_X \rightarrow H_0 P_Y$$

Example: If $U \subseteq X$,

$$(M, \bar{\partial}) = (A^{0,0}(U), \bar{\partial})$$

$A^{0,0}(U)$ is projective over A^X , not finitely generated.

Generalized complex manifold X

$$J: (TX \oplus T^*X) \rightarrow$$

$J^2 = -1$, + integrability condition.

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} I & P \\ B & J \end{pmatrix}$$

Special cases: 1) Complex manifold $\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J^v \end{pmatrix}$

Holomorphic Poisson manifolds $\begin{pmatrix} J & P \\ 0 & J^v \end{pmatrix}$

Holomorphic pre-symplectic manifold $\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ B & J^v \end{pmatrix}$

Symplectic : $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega^{-1} \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Very coarsely : $\begin{pmatrix} I & P \\ B & J \end{pmatrix}$ $I, J \longleftrightarrow$ complex str.

P Poisson

B is gerbe (symplectic).

Kapustin If (X, ω) is a symplectic manifold with a big brane;

$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow X$ a line bundle

$$\nabla, \quad \underline{F \omega^{-1} F} = -\omega.$$

Define $J = F\omega^{-1}$, then by above $J^2 = -1$, it happens to be automatically integrable. A-branes on $(X, \omega) \longleftrightarrow$

B-branes on a nc. deformation of X to a holomorphic Poisson manifold.

Can verify that P of the nc. manifold is equivalent P_{mirror} .

Q: (Toen): Is there any reason not to work w/ algebraic spaces here

in addition to manifolds? A: No.