

# Math 113 — Homework 8

Graham White

May 31, 2013

## Book problems

1. From the previous homework set, we know that an orthonormal basis for  $P_2(\mathbb{R})$  with the given inner product is  $\{1, \sqrt{3}(2x - 1), \sqrt{5}(6x^2 - 6x + 1)\}$ . The matrix of  $T$  with respect to this basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & -3\sqrt{5} \\ 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{15} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix is not Hermitian, so  $T$  is not self-adjoint. An operator is self-adjoint if and only if its matrix with respect to any orthonormal basis is Hermitian. The basis  $\{1, x, x^2\}$  is not orthonormal, so the matrix of  $T$  with respect to this basis being Hermitian is unimportant.

4. If  $P$  is an orthogonal projection, then  $V = \text{im}(P) \oplus \text{ker}(P)$ . Consider the matrix of  $P$  with respect to a basis of  $V$  which is the union of bases for  $\text{im}(P)$  and for  $\text{ker}(P)$ . The operator  $P$  acts as the identity on  $\text{im}(P)$  and as the zero operator on  $\text{ker}(P)$ , so this matrix is diagonal, with ones and zeros on the diagonal. Such a matrix is Hermitian, so  $P$  is self-adjoint.

If  $P$  is self-adjoint, consider an orthonormal basis for  $\text{im}(P)$  and extend it to an orthonormal basis of  $V$ . The matrix of  $P$  with respect to this basis may be written as a block matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A$  is the matrix of  $P$  acting on  $\text{im}(P)$  with respect to the chosen basis of that space.

We know that  $P$  acts on  $\text{im}(P)$  as the identity, so  $A$  is an identity matrix. The image under  $P$  of any element of  $V$  lies in  $\text{im}(P)$ , so  $C$  and  $D$  are both comprised solely of zeros. Finally,  $M$  is Hermitian, so  $B$  is the Hermitian conjugate of  $C$ , and is hence also comprised of zeros. The matrix of  $P$  with respect to an orthonormal basis is diagonal with all entries ones and zeros, so  $P$  is an orthonormal projection.

8. Consider the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then  $(1, 2, 3)$  is a 0-eigenvector of  $T$  and  $(2, 5, 7)$  is a 1-eigenvector of  $T$ . These two vectors are not orthogonal, violating Corollary 7.14 of Axler.
10. From Theorem 7.9 of Axler, there is an orthonormal basis of  $V$  comprised of eigenvectors of  $T$ . If  $T^9 = T^8$ , then each eigenvector  $\lambda$  of  $T$  satisfies  $\lambda^9 = \lambda^8$ , so  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda = 1$ . From Corollary 7.14 of Axler, the result follows.
14. The operator  $T$  is self-adjoint, so there is an orthonormal basis of  $V$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ . Let this basis be  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , with corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Let  $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$ . We know that  $a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 = 1$ . We calculate the following

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv - \lambda v\|^2 &= \|(\lambda_1 - \lambda)a_1v_1 + \dots + (\lambda_n - \lambda)a_nv_n\|^2 \\ &= \|(\lambda_1 - \lambda)a_1v_1\|^2 + \dots + \|(\lambda_n - \lambda)a_nv_n\|^2 \\ &= |(\lambda_1 - \lambda)a_1|^2 + \dots + |(\lambda_n - \lambda)a_n|^2 \end{aligned}$$

But we know that this quantity is less than  $\epsilon^2$ . If  $|\lambda_i - \lambda| \geq \epsilon$  for each  $i$ , then we get that  $a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 < 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore there is some  $i$  for which  $|\lambda_i - \lambda| < \epsilon$ , as required.

15. From the real spectral theorem, we know that if  $T$  is a self-adjoint linear operator, then there is a basis of  $U$  comprised of eigenvectors of  $T$ .

If  $U$  has a basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  comprised of eigenvectors of  $T$ , then define an inner product on  $U$  by  $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . (This is the Kronecker delta function. Why can we define an inner product like this? Could you perform the calculations required to check the inner product axioms?)

The matrix of  $T$  with respect to this basis is diagonal, as each  $u_i$  is an eigenvector of  $T$ . The basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  is orthonormal, by the definition of the inner product. Therefore having a diagonal (real) matrix implies that  $T$  is self-adjoint.

32. (a) The given formula for  $T$ , that

$$Tv = s_1 \langle v, e_1 \rangle f_1 + \dots + s_n \langle v, e_n \rangle f_n,$$

is equivalent to saying that for each  $i$ ,  $Te_i = s_i f_i$ . We know that each  $e_i$  is an eigenvector of  $T^*T$ , with eigenvalue  $s_i^2$ . Therefore for each  $i$ ,  $T^*s_i f_i = s_i^2 e_i$ , and so  $T^*f_i = s_i e_i$ . Using a similar equivalence to that in the first line of this paragraph, this gives the required equation for  $T^*$ .

- (b) If any of the  $s_i$  are equal to zero, then the corresponding  $e_i$  is in the kernel of  $T$ , so  $T$  is not invertible. If none of the  $s_i$  are zero, then we may consider the map  $T^{-1}$  as defined in the question. We check that  $T^{-1}Te_i = \frac{s_i}{s_i} e_i = e_i$  for each  $e_i$  and that  $TT^{-1}f_i = \frac{s_i}{s_i} f_i = f_i$  for each  $f_i$ , so the map  $T^{-1}$  is the inverse of  $T$ , as required.

#### Other problems:

1. (a) By definition, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}(aF + bG, v) &= (aF + bG)(v) \\ &= aF(v) + bG(v) \\ &= a \text{ev}(F, v) + b \text{ev}(G, v) \end{aligned}$$

The map  $F$ , as an element of  $V^* = \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})$ , is linear. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}(F, av + bw) &= (F)(av + bw) \\ &= aF(v) + bF(w) \\ &= a \text{ev}(F, v) + b \text{ev}(F, w) \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that  $\text{ev}$  is a bilinear map. By a result from the previous homework set, we have that  $\text{ev}$  induces a map from the tensor product  $V^* \otimes V$  to  $\mathbb{F}$ . For each  $i$  and  $j$ , we have that  $\text{ev}(v_i^*, v_j) = v_i^*(v_j) = \delta_{ij}$ .

- (b) For any  $f \in V^*$  and any  $w \in W$ , let  $\alpha(f \otimes w)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  defined by  $\alpha(f \otimes w)(v) = f(v)w$ . (Which property of tensor products guarantees us that this defines a linear map? This needs the definition of  $\alpha$  to be bilinear on  $V^* \times W$ . Could you check this?).

When  $V$  and  $W$  are finite dimensional, the map  $\alpha$  will be an isomorphism. To check this, it suffices to show that the image of a basis of the domain is a basis of the codomain.

The image of a pure tensor,  $\alpha(v_i^* \otimes w_j)$ , is the map that takes  $v_i$  to  $w_j$  and each other  $v_k$  to zero. But we have previously shown that these maps are a basis of  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Therefore the map  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism.

- (c) For each  $i$  and  $j$ , let the  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix of  $T$  with respect to the basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be  $a_{ij}$ . By examining the action of  $T$  on each basis vector  $v_i$ , we see that

$$\alpha^{-1}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} v_j^* \otimes v_i.$$

Applying the map  $\text{ev}$ , we get that the trace of  $T$  is given by

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}.$$

This equals the trace of the matrix of  $T$  with respect to the given basis, as required.

- (d) We will work with the matrices of these maps with respect to any fixed basis. Let the entries of the matrices of  $TS$ ,  $T$ ,  $S$  and  $ST$  be  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_{ij}$ ,  $c_{ij}$  and  $d_{ij}$ , respectively. Then we calculate the following

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(TS) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} c_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ji} b_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n d_{jj} \\ &= \text{tr}(ST) \end{aligned}$$

2. To check that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product, we calculate the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S, T \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle S e_i, T e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{\langle T e_i, S e_i \rangle} \\ &= \overline{\langle T, S \rangle} \\ \langle aS + bS', T \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle (aS + bS') e_i, T e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle aS e_i + bS' e_i, T e_i \rangle \\ &= a \sum_{i=1}^n \langle S e_i, T e_i \rangle + b \sum_{i=1}^n \langle S' e_i, T e_i \rangle \\ &= a \langle S, T \rangle + b \langle S', T \rangle \\ \langle S, S \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle S e_i, S e_i \rangle \\ &\geq 0, \text{ with equality only if each } S e_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If each  $S e_i = 0$ , then  $S = 0$ , so  $\langle S, S \rangle = 0$  only if  $S = 0$ . Therefore  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is an inner product, as required.

Finally, we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S, T \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle S e_i, T e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, S^* T e_i \rangle \\ &= \text{tr}(S^* T) \\ &= \text{tr}(T S^*) \\ &= \langle T^*, S^* \rangle\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the inner product  $\langle S, T \rangle$  is independent of the choice of basis, as the trace of the operator  $S^* T$  is independent of the choice of basis. Also,  $\langle S, T \rangle = \langle T^*, S^* \rangle$ .