

Math 171 Homework 8  
(due May 27)

**Problem 1.** Let  $R$  be a closed and bounded interval in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that  $f(x) = 0$  at almost every  $x \in R$ . Prove that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**Solution:**

Let

$$A := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq 0\}.$$

By assumption  $A$  has measure 0. Since  $f$  is continuous and  $A$  is the preimage of the open set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $A$  is open. Since  $A$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that has measure zero, by Fact 2  $A$  is empty. Thus,  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $R$  be a closed and bounded interval in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $f, g : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two Riemann integrable functions on  $R$ . Suppose that  $f(x) = g(x)$  almost everywhere in  $x \in R$ . Prove that  $\int_R f = \int_R g$ .

**Solution:**

Consider  $h := f - g$ . By assumption  $h(x) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $R$ . Since the Riemann integrable functions form a vector space,  $h$  is Riemann integrable.

Let  $\varphi$  be a step function adapted to some partition  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $\varphi \leq h$ . Let  $A$  be the set of  $x \in R$  such that  $h(x) \neq 0$ . By assumption  $A$  is measure zero. For every interval  $I$  of the partition  $\mathcal{P}$ , by Fact 2,  $\overset{\circ}{I}$  is not measure zero. Hence, by Fact 1,  $\overset{\circ}{I}$  is not a subset of  $A$ . Therefore, there exists  $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$  such that  $h(x) = 0$ . For such an  $x$  we have that  $\varphi(x) \leq 0$ . Since  $\varphi$  is constant on  $\overset{\circ}{I}$ ,  $\varphi(x) = a_I \leq 0$  for all  $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ . Since  $I$  was an arbitrary element of  $R$  we have  $\varphi \leq 0$  on the interiors of all intervals in  $R$  (i.e.  $a_I \leq 0$  for every  $I \in R$ ). Therefore,

$$\int_R \varphi = \sum_{I \in R} a_I \cdot \text{volume}(I) \leq 0.$$

Since  $\int_R \varphi \leq 0$  for every step function on  $R$  such that  $\varphi \leq h$ , we have that

$$\underline{\int}_R h \leq 0.$$

Applying the same argument to  $-h$  we get that

$$\overline{\int}_R h \geq 0.$$

Since  $h$  is Riemann integrable,

$$\underline{\int}_R h = \overline{\int}_R h.$$

Thus,

$$\int_R h = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.3 in Leon Simon's notes, we have that

$$\int_R h = \int_R f - \int_R g,$$

so  $\int_R f = \int_R g$ .

**Problem 3.**

- (i) Let  $R$  be a closed and bounded interval in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be two step functions on  $R$ ; that is,  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(R)$ . Prove a statement we asserted in class, that  $\min(\varphi, \psi)$  is again a step function on  $R$ .
- (ii) Deduce another statement we asserted in class: that if  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_+(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\min(f, g) \in \mathcal{L}_+(R)$ .

**Solution:**

- (i) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be partitions associated with  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  and let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the common refinement of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Then for every interval  $I \in \mathcal{R}$ , both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are constant on  $\overset{\circ}{I}$ , so  $\min(\varphi, \psi)$  is also constant on  $\overset{\circ}{I}$ . Thus,  $\min(\varphi, \psi)$  is a step function with partition  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- (ii) Since  $f$  and  $g$  are elements of  $\mathcal{L}_+(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows that there exist increasing sequences  $\{\varphi_n\}$  and  $\{\psi_n\}$  of non-negative step functions such that  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x)$  on  $R \setminus A$  and  $g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(x)$  on  $R \setminus B$  (where  $A$  and  $B$  are sets of measure zero).

We show that  $\min(f, g)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min(\varphi_n, \psi_n)(x)$  on  $R \setminus (A \cup B)$ . Fix  $x \in R \setminus (A \cup B)$ . Consider two cases:

- Case 1:  $f(x) = g(x)$ . Then  $\min(f, g)(x) = f(x) = g(x)$ . Given an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that  $|\varphi_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq N_1$  and  $|\psi_n(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq N_2$ . Then for every  $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$  we have that

$$|\min(\varphi_n(x), \psi_n(x)) - f(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min(\varphi_n, \psi_n)(x) = f(x)$ , as desired.

- Case 2:  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $f(x) > g(x)$ . Then  $\min(f, g)(x) = g(x)$ .

Let  $\varepsilon = (f(x) - g(x))/2$ . Choose  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that  $|\varphi_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq N_1$  and  $|\psi_n(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$ . Then for every  $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$  we have that

$$\psi_n(x) < g(x) + \varepsilon = f(x) - \varepsilon < \varphi_n(x).$$

Thus,  $\min(\psi_n(x), \varphi_n(x)) = \psi_n(x)$  for every  $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$ , so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min(\psi_n, \varphi_n)(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n(x) = g(x),$$

as desired.

To finish the problem note that

- each  $\min(\varphi_n, \psi_n)$  is a step function for each  $n$  by part (i),
- $\min(\varphi_n, \psi_n)$  is non-negative because  $\varphi_n$  and  $\psi_n$  are non-negative and
- the set  $A \cup B$  is measure zero because  $A$  and  $B$  are measure zero.

**Problem 4.** Let  $f$  be an *increasing* function on the closed interval  $[a, b] \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $f$  is Riemann integrable.

**Solution:**

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we produce step functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  on  $[a, b]$  such that  $\varphi \leq f \leq \psi$  and  $\int_R \psi - \int_R \varphi < \varepsilon$ .

Choose an integer  $n$  such that

$$n > \frac{\varepsilon}{(b-a)(f(b) - f(a))}.$$

Partition  $[a, b]$  into  $n$  intervals  $[a_0, a_1], [a_1, a_2], \dots, [a_{n-1}, a_n]$  of equal length (i.e.  $a_k = a + \frac{k}{n}(b-a)$ ).

Let

$$\varphi(x) := \begin{cases} f(a_{k-1}) & \text{if } x \in (a_{k-1}, a_k) \text{ for some } k, \\ f(x) & \text{if } x = a_k \text{ for some } k. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi(x) := \begin{cases} f(a_k) & \text{if } x \in (a_{k-1}, a_k) \text{ for some } k, \\ f(x) & \text{if } x = a_k \text{ for some } k. \end{cases}$$

By construction  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are step functions with partition  $\{[a_0, a_1], \dots, [a_{n-1}, a_n]\}$ . Since  $f$  is increasing, for every  $x \in (a_{k-1}, a_k)$  we have that  $f(a_{k-1}) \leq f(x) \leq f(a_k)$ , so  $\varphi \leq f \leq \psi$ .

Moreover,

$$\int_R \varphi = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta f(a_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_R \psi = \sum_{k=1}^n \delta f(a_k)$$

where  $\delta = (b-a)/n$  is the length of each of the intervals of the partition  $\{[a_0, a_1], \dots, [a_{n-1}, a_n]\}$ .

Thus,

$$\int_R \psi - \int_R \varphi = \delta(f(a_n) - f(a_0)) = \frac{1}{n}(b-a)(f(b) - f(a)) < \varepsilon,$$

as desired.

**Problem 5.** Define the *Cantor set*  $C \subset [0, 1]$  to be the set of real numbers in  $[0, 1]$  whose base-3 expansion does not contain 1. That is,

$$C := \left\{ x \in [0, 1] \mid x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n} \text{ with each } a_n \in \{0, 2\} \right\}.$$

- Show that  $C$  is uncountable.
- Show that  $C$  has Lebesgue measure zero.

**Solution:**

(i) Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of sequences  $\{a_n\}$  with  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Define a function  $S : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$S(\{a_i\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i}.$$

By Problem 2 from Homework 2, any real number has at most two base-3 expansions and all but countably many real numbers have exactly one base-3 expansion.

Thus, the restriction of  $S$  to  $\mathcal{S} \setminus A$  (with  $A$  at most countable) is an injection into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is uncountable and  $A$  is countable,  $\mathcal{S} \setminus A$  is uncountable. Since  $S$  is an injection on  $\mathcal{S} \setminus A$ ,  $S(\mathcal{S} \setminus A)$  is also uncountable. Since  $\mathbb{C}$  contains an uncountable subset  $S(\mathcal{S} \setminus A)$ , it is uncountable.

*Remark: one can actually show that  $S$  is injective, i.e. that we can take  $A = \emptyset$ .*

(ii) Write  $C = \bigcap_n C_n$ , where  $C_n$  is the set of all real numbers in  $[0, 1]$  that have a base-3 expansion which contains no 1's among the first  $n$  digits. (*Note: a number in  $C_n$  may have a different base-3 expansion which does contain 1 among its first  $n$  digits: for example,  $1 = 1.0000$  is an element of every  $C_n$  because  $1 = 0.22222$ .)*

Note that the first  $n$  base-3 digits of a number  $x \in [0, 1]$  are  $0.a_1 \dots a_n$  if and only if  $x \in [0.a_1 \dots a_n, 0.a_1 \dots a_n + 1/3^n]$  or equivalently  $x \in [\frac{m}{3^n}, \frac{m+1}{3^n}]$  where  $m \in [0, 3^n)$  is an integer whose base-3 expansion has no 1's. There are exactly  $2^n$  such integers (we have 2 choices for each digit: 0 and 2). Thus, the total lengths of the intervals comprising  $C_n$  is  $2^n/3^n$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n/3^n = 0$ , we get that  $C$  is measure zero.

**Problem 6.** Show that if  $\{I_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a collection of open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$  which covers  $[0, 1]$ , meaning that  $[0, 1] \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$  then  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_j| \geq 1$ . Deduce that  $[0, 1]$  does *not* have Lebesgue measure zero. **Hint:** use compactness.

**Solution:**

We firstly prove the statement for a *finite* collection  $\{I_j = (a_j, b_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  of open intervals by induction on  $n$ .

For  $n = 1$ , then we have a single interval  $(a_1, b_1)$  covering  $[0, 1]$ . Hence,  $a_1 < 0$  and  $b_1 > 1$ , so

$$|I_1| = b_1 - a_1 > 1.$$

Assume the induction hypothesis holds for  $n - 1$  with  $n \geq 2$ . We will prove the hypothesis holds for  $n$ . Let  $\{I_j = (a_j, b_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  be a collection of open intervals covering  $[0, 1]$ . Pick a  $k$  such that  $I_k = (a_k, b_k)$  contains 0. Then  $a_k < 0 < b_k$ . If  $b_k > 1$  then  $I_k$  covers  $[0, 1]$ , so  $|I_k| > 1$ . Assume that  $b_k \leq 1$ . Then  $b_k \in (a_l, b_l)$  for some  $l$ .

Consider the interval  $I' = (a_k, b_l)$ . We have that  $I_l \subset I'$  and  $I_j \subset I'$ , hence  $I_l \cup I_j \subset I'$ .

The collection of  $n - 1$  intervals

$$\{I_j \mid j \neq k, j \neq l\} \cup \{I'\}$$

covers  $[0, 1]$ , so by the induction assumption,

$$\sum_{j \neq k, l} |I_j| + |I'| \geq 1.$$

Since  $b_k \in (a_k, b_k)$  we have that

$$|I_k| + |I_l| = (b_k - a_k) + (b_l - a_l) = (b_l - a_k) + (b_k - a_l) \geq b_l - a_k = |I'|.$$

Thus,

$$\sum_j |I_j| = \sum_{j \neq k, l} |I_j| + |I_k| + |I_l| \geq \sum_{j \neq k, l} |I_j| + |I'| \geq 1,$$

proving the induction hypothesis for  $n$ .

Now we are ready to tackle the infinite covers. Let  $\{I_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a collection of intervals covering  $[0, 1]$ . Since  $[0, 1]$  is compact, there exists a finite subcollection  $\{I_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{F}}$  that still covers  $[0, 1]$ . Then, using the result for finite covers,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |I_j| \geq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{F}} |I_j| \geq 1.$$