## Math 171, Autumn 2007

Fall 2007 Final Exam Solutions

1) a) Assume that A is open. This implies that  $M \setminus A$  is closed and therefore  $A \cap \overline{M} \setminus \overline{A} = A \cap (M \setminus A) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\partial A \subseteq \overline{M} \setminus \overline{A}$  it follows that  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ .

Assume that  $A \cap \partial A = \emptyset$ . Since  $A \subseteq \overline{A}$ , it follows that  $A \cap \overline{M} \setminus \overline{A} = \emptyset$ , and thus  $\overline{M} \setminus \overline{A} = M \setminus A$  so  $M \setminus A$  is closed and A is open.

b) Assume that  $x \in \partial A$ . Since  $x \in \overline{A}$ , there is a sequence from A converging to x. Since  $x \in \overline{M \setminus A}$  there is a sequence from  $M \setminus A$  converging to x.

Assume that there is a sequence from A converging to x and there is a sequence from  $M \setminus A$  converging to x. Then it follows that  $x \in \overline{A}$  and  $x \in \overline{M \setminus A}$  so  $x \in \partial A$ .

- 2) a) If  $\epsilon > 0$  is chosen, then by uniform continuity there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\rho(f(x), f(\hat{x})) < \epsilon$  whenever  $x, \hat{x} \in A$  with  $d(x, \hat{x}) < \delta$ . Because A is totally bounded we can find points  $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in A$  so that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N D(x_n, \delta)$ . We now show that  $f(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N D(f(x_n), \epsilon)$ . To see this, let  $y \in f(A)$ , then there exists  $x \in A$  such that f(x) = y. It follows that  $x \in D(x_n, \delta)$  and thus  $d(x, x_n) < \delta$ . Therefore  $\rho(f(x), f(x_n)) < \epsilon$  and thus  $y = f(x) \in D(f(x_n), \epsilon)$ . Thus we have shown that  $f(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^N D(f(x_n), \epsilon)$  as required.
- b) Let  $x_n$  be a Cauchy sequence from M. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that  $\rho(f(x), f(\hat{x})) < \epsilon$  for  $x, \hat{x} \in M$  with  $d(x, \hat{x}) < \delta$ . Since  $x_n$  is Cauchy there exists N so that  $d(x_n, x_m) < \delta$  for  $n, m \geq N$ . It follows that  $\rho(f(x_n), f(x_m)) < \epsilon$  for  $n, m \geq N$ . Therefore the sequence  $f(x_n)$  is Cauchy in N.

Let  $f(x) = \cos(1/x)$  for  $x \in (0,1)$  and let  $x_n = \frac{1}{n\pi}$ . We then have  $f(x_n) = \cos(n\pi) = (-1)^n$ , so  $f(x_n)$  is not a Cauchy sequence.

3) a) By the fundamental theorem of calculus we can write

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} f'(s)ds$$

for  $x, x_0 \in (0, 1)$ . Since f' is uniformly continuous on (0, 1), it extends continuously to the endpoints, and we can define f'(0) and f'(1) so that f' is continuous on [0, 1]. In particular f' is Riemann integrable on [0, 1], so we can let  $x_0 \to 0$  to obtain

$$f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x f'(s)ds$$

for  $x \in [0,1]$ . We now let  $f'_{+} = \max\{f',0\}$  and  $f'_{-} = \max\{-f',0\}$ , and we have  $f' = f'_{-} - f'_{-}$ . Both  $f'_{+}$  and  $f'_{-}$  are Riemann integrable since they are continuous in [0,1]. Therefore we have f = g - h on [0,1] where

$$g(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x f'_+(s)ds, \ h(x) = \int_0^x f'_-(s)ds,$$

and both g and h are continuous increasing functions.

- b) Let  $x_n = \frac{1}{\pi/2 + n\pi}$ , and observe that  $|f(x_n) f(x_{n-1})| = x_n + x_{n-1}$  since  $\sin(1/x_n) = 1$  for n even and  $\sin(1/x_n) = -1$  for n odd. Note that this series is divergent. Now if it were true that f = g h where g and h are continuous increasing functions on [0,1], then we would have  $|f(x_n) f(x_{n-1})| \leq |g(x_n) g(x_{n-1})| + |h(x_n) h(x_{n-1})|$ , and as observed in the hint, the series would be convergent. This contradiction shows that f cannot be so represented.
- 4) a) We show that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly. To see this, let  $g_n = f f_n$  and observe that  $g_n$  is a decreasing sequence of continuous functions converging pointwise to 0. We show that  $g_n$  converges uniformly to 0. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and observe that for all  $x \in [0,1]$  there exists  $n_x$  such that  $g_{n_x}(x) < \epsilon/2$ . Since  $g_{n_x}$  is continuous at x, there exists  $\delta_x > 0$  so that  $g_{n_x}(y) < \epsilon$  for  $|y-x| < \delta_x$ . By compactness, choose  $x_1, \ldots, x_K \in [0,1]$  so that  $[0,1] \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^K (x_n \delta_{x_n}, x_n + \delta_{x_n})$ . Let  $N = \max\{n(1), \ldots, n(K)\}$  where  $n(k) = n_{x_k}$ , and observe that for any  $x \in [0,1]$  we have  $|x-x_k| < \delta_{x_k}$  for some k. Therefore  $g_{n(k)}(x) < \epsilon$ . Since  $n(k) \leq N$  and the sequence  $g_n$  is decreasing, it follows that  $g_N(x) < \epsilon$  and therefore  $g_n(x) < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq N$  and for all  $x \in [0,1]$ . Therefore  $g_n$  converges uniformly to 0, so  $f_n$  converges uniformly to f. By our theorem on interchange of limits with integrals we can now conclude that  $\int_0^1 f = \lim \int_0^1 f_n$ .
- b) Enumerate the rational numbers in [0,1] as a sequence  $r_n$ , and for  $\epsilon > 0$  choose open intervals  $I_n$  centered at  $r_n$  of length  $\epsilon 2^{-n}$ . Let  $g_n$  be the piecewise linear function which is 0 outside  $I_n$ , positive inside  $I_n$ , and equal to 1 at  $r_n$ . We then let  $f_n = \max\{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ , and we see that  $f_n$  is an increasing sequence of continuous functions with  $0 \le f_n \le 1$ . Let f be the pointwise limit of  $f_n$ , and we claim that f is not Riemann integrable. To see this, let  $K = [0,1] \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , and observe that for any  $x \in K$ , the function f is not continuous at x since f(x) = 0 and any open interval about x contains points  $r_n$  at which the value of f is 1.

We claim now that K does not have measure zero and therefore f is not Riemann integrable by Lebesgue's theorem. To see this observe

that if K is covered by a collection of open intervals  $J_k$ , then the  $J_k$  together with the  $I_n$  form an open covering of [0,1] which then has a finite subcovering. Now the total length of the intervals in the finite subcovering is at least 1 since the intervals cover [0,1], but the total length of the  $I_n$  is  $\epsilon$ . It follows that the total length of the  $J_k$  is at least  $1 - \epsilon$ , and thus K does not have measure zero if  $\epsilon < 1$ .

5) a) By the fundamental theorem of calculus we can write

$$f_n(x) = f_n(1/2) + \int_{1/2}^x f'_n(s)ds.$$

For any given  $x \in (0,1)$  it follows from the uniform convergence of the sequence  $f'_n$  and the convergence of the sequence  $f_n(1/2)$  that  $f_n(x)$  converges to a limit f(x), and

$$f(x) = f(1/2) + \int_{1/2}^{x} g(s)ds.$$

From this it follows again by the fundamental theorem of calculus that f is differentiable on (0,1) and f'=g.

- b) Let  $f_n = (-1)^n [\pi/2 \tan^{-1}(nx)]$ , and observe that for each  $x \in (1,2)$  the series converges since the terms alternate in sign and are decreasing to zero in absolute value. Therefore the series converges pointwise in (1,2). Now we have  $f'_n(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{n}{1+n^2x^2}$ , and this series also converges for the same reason. From the theory of alternating series we also know that the sum of the series g(x) satisfies  $|g(x) g_n(x)| \leq |f'_{n+1}(x)|$  where  $g_n$  denotes the partial sum  $g_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f'_n(x)$ . Since the  $|f'_n(x)| < 1/n$  for all  $x \in (1,2)$  it follows that the series of derivatives converges uniformly to a function g on (1,2), and therefore it follows that the series can be differentiated term by term.
- 6) a) Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $A_n$  has measure zero, there is a countable collection  $\mathcal{I}_n$  of open intervals so that  $A_n \subseteq \cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_n} I$  and  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_n} |I| < \epsilon 2^{-n}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{I}_n$ . Since a countable union of countable sets is countable we see that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a countable collection of open intervals. We have  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |I| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_n} |I| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon 2^{-n} = \epsilon$ . Moreover we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \subseteq \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} I$ , so it follows that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  has measure zero.
- b) Assume that  $|f(x) f(y)| \leq K|x y|$ , and observe that if I is any finite open interval, then f(I) is an interval of length at most K|I|, and therefore it is contained in an open interval  $J_I$  of length 2K|I|. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a collection of open intervals which cover A and with  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |I| < \frac{\epsilon}{2K}$ . For each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ , let  $J_I$  be an open interval which

contains f(I) with  $|J_I| = 2K|I|$ . We then have  $f(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{I}} J_I$ , and  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |J_I| = 2K \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |I| < \epsilon$ . Therefore f(A) has measure zero.

- c) Since  $C_n$  is a union of  $2^n$  intervals of length  $3^{-n}$ , for any  $\lambda > 1$  we can cover  $C_n$  by  $2^n$  open intervals of length at most  $\lambda^n 3^{-n}$ . This collection of open intervals then covers  $C \subseteq C_n$ , and the total length of the intervals is at most  $(2\lambda/3)^n$ . If  $\lambda$  is chosen smaller than 3/2 we will have  $(2\lambda/3)^n < \epsilon$  for n sufficiently large. Therefore C has measure zero.
- 7) a) Let x(t) be the solution of the initial value problem with  $x(0) = x_0$  and assume that x(t) is defined on [0,T). Since  $f \geq 0$  we see that x(t) is an increasing function of t so we have the lower bound  $x_0 \leq x(t)$  for all  $t \in [0,T)$ . If  $x(t) \leq 1$  for all  $t \in [0,T)$  then we have the desired bound. Assume that there exists  $t_0 \in [0,T)$  so that  $x(t_0) = 1$  and thus  $x(t) \geq 1$  for  $t \in [t_0,T)$ . Since  $x'(t) = f(t,x(t)) \leq Cx(t)$  for  $t \in [t_0,T)$ , we have  $(\log x)' \leq C$  and therefore integrating over  $[t_0,t]$  we find  $\log x(t) \leq C(t-t_0)$  for  $t \in [t_0,T)$ . Therefore  $x(t) \leq e^{C(t-t_0)} \leq e^{CT}$  for all  $t \in [t_0,T)$ . We have shown  $x_0 \leq x(t) \leq e^{CT}$  for all  $t \in [0,T)$ .

We now let S be the subset of  $[0,\infty)$  consisting of those T such that the initial value problem has a unique solution on [0,T) which extends continuously to [0,T]. By the local existence and uniqueness theorem we see that  $[0,\delta)\subseteq S$  so S is not empty. We show that S is both open and closed, and therefore by connectedness of  $[0,\infty)$  we must have  $S=[0,\infty)$ . First note that S is open by the Extension Principle. To see that S is closed, suppose that  $T_n \in S$  and  $T_n \to T$ . If any  $T_n \geq T$ , then  $T \in S$ , so we may assume that  $T_n < T$  for all n. It then follows that the initial value problem has a solution on [0,T). This solution is unique by the global uniqueness theorem. Since the solution x(t) is increasing and bounded above (by the estimate in the previous paragraph), it follows x(t) extends continuously to [0,T], and therefore  $T \in S$ . This shows that S is closed, and therefore there exists a solution of the initial value problem defined on  $[0,\infty)$ . The solution is unique by the global uniqueness theorem.

b) From the estimate of part a we see that the collection  $\mathcal{S}$  is uniformly bounded. Since x' = f(t, x(t)) for each function x(t) in  $\mathcal{S}$  it follows that |x'(t)| is uniformly bounded for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and therefore x(t) is Lipschitz with a fixed Lipschitz constant. Therefore  $\mathcal{S}$  is equicontinuous. By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem we see that  $\mathcal{S}$  has compact closure, so it remains to show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a closed subset of C([0, 1]). To see this, let  $x_n(t)$  be a convergent sequence from  $\mathcal{S}$  and let x(t) be its limit. We

then have by the fundamental theorem of calculus

$$x_n(t) = x_n(0) + \int_0^t f(s, x_n(s)) ds.$$

Since  $x_n$  converges uniformly to x, we have

$$|f(s, x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s))| \le K|x_n(s) - x(s)|$$

where K depends only on the bound on the functions in S. It follows that the sequence  $f(s, x_n(s))$  converges uniformly to f(s, x(s)) and so we can take the limit and get

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t f(s, x(s))ds.$$

Therefore we have x'(t) = f(t, x(t)) and  $x(0) \in [-B, B]$ . Thus  $x \in \mathcal{S}$  and therefore  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed.