

And there is a fully faithful embedding  $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\Delta, \pi}$  idempotent pre- $\Delta$  hull.

11/05/16

**Definition:** an  $A_{\infty}$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$  is pretriangulated if

- (a) every closed morphism in  $\mathcal{E}$  extends to an exact triangle
- (b)  $\mathcal{E}$  is "closed under shifts": for every object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\exists X[1]$  st  $\forall K, \int \text{hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(X, K[1]) = \text{hom}(X, K)[1]$  equality of chain complexes, compatible with  $A_{\infty}$ -structures  $\Delta$  signs

Rem: if (a), we can already define  $X[1] := \text{Core}(X \xrightarrow{\circ} 0)$ . Note that  $0 = \text{core}(K \xrightarrow{id} K)$  is in the category.

(We always take  $\mathcal{E}$  hom-unital).

But we also want  $X[-1]$  to be define, hence (b).

Rem:  $\mathcal{E}$  pretriangulated  $\rightsquigarrow H^0 \mathcal{E}$  triangulated in usual sense.

**Proposition:** any (hom-unital)  $A_{\infty}$ -category  $\mathcal{E}$  has a (essentially unique) pretriangulated hull, ie  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$  pretriangulated with  $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{E}}$  such that if  $\mathcal{D}$  pretriangulated with  $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , then  $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .

In fact, the restriction  $\text{fun}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$  is a quasi-equivalence.

Two methods of constructing this:

- 1)  $A_{\infty}$ -modules over  $\mathcal{E}$ : construct a pretriangulated category  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathcal{E})$ , and take the closure of  $\text{im}(\mathcal{E})$  under finitely many cones and shifts.
- 2) Twisted complexes:  $\text{Tw } \mathcal{E}$ : construct directly from  $\mathcal{E}$  an enlargement whose objects are "complex of objects"  
 ex.  $X_0 \xrightarrow{C_{01}} X_1 \xrightarrow{C_{12}} X_2$ ,  $p^2(C_{11}, C_{01}) = p^1(C_{02}) = \text{comp.}$  is null homotopic instead of 0.

(Bondal - Kapranov, Kontsevich).

Let  $k$  be the ground field for  $\mathcal{E}$ . We will talk more about it.

Recall that given  $k$ , we have a DG category  $\text{Chain}_k$  ( $\text{Ch}_k$ ):

\* objects  $C \rightarrow d_C$  ( $C$  graded vs,  $\text{deg}(d_C) = +1$ ) chain complex

\* morphisms  $\text{Mor}(C_1, C_2) = \text{hom}_{\text{vect}}(C_1, C_2)$ , with  $d$ , inherits grading from  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . This differential is

(\*)  $d(F) := F \circ d_{C_1} - d_{C_2} \circ F$  ( $\pm$  signs depending on  $\text{deg } F$ ), so closed morphisms are chain maps.

Fact: given  $A_\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , we get  $\text{nu-fun}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ , category of (non-unital)  $A_\infty$ -functors from  $\mathcal{E}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ . It is DG if  $\mathcal{D}$  is.

Definition: the category  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{E}) = \text{Mod-}\mathcal{E}$  of (right)  $A_\infty$ -functors modules over  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\text{nu-fun}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ch}_k)$ . In fact, it is a DG category.

Let's explicitly spell this out.

Definition: a (right)  $A_\infty$ -module  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\mathcal{E}$  is the data of:

- for every  $x \in \text{ob } \mathcal{E}$ , a chain complex  $\mathcal{P}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\text{10}}$ , degree 1
- for every  $(d+1)$ -tuple of objects  $x_0, \dots, x_d$ , "multiplication maps"  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{1d}} : \mathcal{P}(x_d) \otimes_{\text{hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(x_{d-1}, x_d)} \otimes \dots \otimes_{\text{hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(x_0, x_1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(x_0)$  of degree  $1-d$ .

Equivalently,  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{d}} : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(x_{d-1}, x_d) \otimes \dots \otimes_{\text{hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(x_0, x_1)} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\text{Ch}_k}(\mathcal{P}(x_d), \mathcal{P}(x_0))$ .

satisfying the  $A_\infty$ -module equations (direct consequence of  $\mathcal{P}$   $A_\infty$ -functor): for any  $k$ ,

(\*) 
$$\sum_{i,j} \pm \mathcal{P}^{\text{1k-j+1}}(\underline{m}, x_k, \dots, x_{i+j+1}, \mathcal{P}_k^j(x_{i+j}, \dots, x_{i+1}), x_i, \dots, x_1) = \sum \pm \mathcal{P}^{\text{1k-i}}(\mathcal{P}_k^{\text{1i}}(\underline{m}, x_k, \dots, x_{k-i+1}), x_{k-i}, \dots, x_1)$$
 ( $\mathcal{P}_k^{\text{10}}$  allowed)

First few \*  $(\mathcal{P}_k^{\text{10}})^2(\underline{m}) = 0$

\*  $\pm \mathcal{P}_k^{\text{10}}(\mathcal{P}_k^{\text{1h}}(\underline{m}, x)) = \pm \mathcal{P}_k^{\text{1h}}(\mathcal{P}_k^{\text{10}}(\underline{m}), x) \pm \mathcal{P}_k^{\text{1h}}(\underline{m}, \mathcal{P}_k^{\text{1}}(x))$ ,

ie  $\mathcal{P}_k^{\text{1h}}$  descends to cohomology:  $H^0(\mathcal{P}(x)) \otimes \text{hom}(y, x) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{P}(y))$ .

