

# Math 535a Homework 3

Due Monday, February 13, 2017 by 5 pm

Please remember to write down your name on your assignment.

1. Give a detailed proof of the equivalence between the three definitions of  $T_p M$  given in class. Then, prove that the construction of the derivative

$$df_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} N$$

is the same for the three definitions, meaning the following: If  $T_p^{(i)} M$  denotes the  $i$ th construction of the tangent space, for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and

$$df_p^{(i)} : T_p^{(i)} M \rightarrow T_{f(p)}^{(i)} N$$

the corresponding three different constructions of the derivative, then show that for any  $M$  and  $p$  and any  $i, j$  there are isomorphisms

$$g_{p,M}^{(ij)} : T_p^{(i)} M \cong T_p^{(j)} M$$

which intertwine the derivative maps, in the sense that  $df_p^{(i)} = g_{f(p),N}^{(ji)} \circ df_p^{(j)} \circ g_{p,M}^{(ij)}$  (where  $g_{p,M}^{(ji)} = (g_{p,M}^{(ij)})^{-1}$ ).

2. Let  $M = f^{-1}(y)$  be the preimage of a regular value  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N-m}$  of a smooth function  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N-m}$ . (for instance,  $M = S^2 = \{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = f^{-1}(1)$ , where  $f : (x, y, z) \mapsto x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ ).

(a) Let  $\widetilde{TM} = \{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \mid x \in M, v \in \ker df_x\}$ . Show that as defined,  $\widetilde{TM}$  is a smooth submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  of dimension  $2m$  (where  $M$  is an  $m$ -dimensional manifold).

(b) Prove that there is a diffeomorphism between  $\widetilde{TM}$  and the *tangent bundle of  $M$*  as defined in class:

$$\widetilde{TM} \cong TM$$

(It follows that, for instance,  $TS^2 \cong \{(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x \in S^2, v \cdot x = 0\}$ ).

3. Let  $M^m$  be a manifold of dimension  $m$  and  $p \in M$  a point. Recall that  $\mathcal{F}_p \subset C^\infty(p)$  is the ideal of germs of functions on  $M$  which vanish at  $p \in M$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_p^k$  be the ideal of  $C^\infty(p)$  generated by  $f_1 \cdots f_k$ , where  $f_i \in \mathcal{F}_p$ . (This means that every element of  $\mathcal{F}_p^k$  is a sum  $\sum_i g_i f_{i1} \cdots f_{ik_i}$ , where  $g^i \in C^\infty(p)$ , and  $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}_p$ ).

(a) Prove that, in every set of local coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  around the point  $p$ , an element  $f \in \mathcal{F}_p^k$  has a Taylor expansion which vanishes to order  $k$ . You may assume a version of Taylor's approximation theorem stated in class.

(b) Compute the dimension of  $\mathcal{F}_p^k / \mathcal{F}_p^{k+1}$ .

(c) Construct a smooth manifold along with a map to  $M$ ,  $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$  whose “fiber”  $E_p = \pi^{-1}(p)$  at the point  $p \in M$  is  $\mathcal{F}_p^1/\mathcal{F}_p^3$ .

4. Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map between manifolds. Prove that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^0(N) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \Omega^0(M) \\ \downarrow d & & \downarrow d \\ \Omega^1(N) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \Omega^1(M) \end{array}$$

5. Give a detailed proof that the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  is a smooth manifold and that the projection map  $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$  is a smooth map.

6. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be smooth real-valued functions on a manifold  $M$ . Prove that  $d(fg) = f dg + g df$ .

7. Let  $i : S^1 = [0, 2\pi]/(0 \sim 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the map  $\theta \mapsto (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$ . Compute  $i^*((x^2 + y)dx + (3 + xy^2)dy)$ .<sup>1</sup>

8. Earlier in class, we defined the notion of a *category*  $\mathcal{C}$ ; examples given include *topological spaces* **Top**, and *vector spaces* **Vect**.

A *functor*  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  from category  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is an assignment, to every object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , an object of  $\mathcal{D}$ , and an induced map on morphism spaces. More precisely, a (*covariant*) *functor*  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is specified by the following data:

- A map on object  $F : \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$
- For every pair of objects  $X, Y$ , a map on morphism spaces  $F = F_{XY} : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F(X), F(Y))$ , which satisfies:
  - $F$  sends identity morphisms to identity morphisms (so  $F(id_X) = id_{F(X)}$ , where  $X \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ ), and
  - $F$  is compatible with compositions, in the sense that  $F(g) \circ F(f) = F(g \circ f)$  for any objects  $X, Y, Z$  and morphisms  $g \in \text{hom}(Y, Z)$ ,  $f \in \text{hom}(X, Y)$ .

A *contravariant functor* from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ , written as

$$G : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{D},$$

consists of the following data:<sup>2</sup>

- A map on object  $G : \text{ob } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$
- For every pair of objects  $X, Y$ , a map on morphism spaces  $G = G_{XY} : \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(G(Y), G(X))$  (note the order reversal), which satisfies:
  - $G$  sends identity morphisms to identity morphisms (so  $G(id_X) = id_{G(X)}$ , where  $X \in \text{ob } \mathcal{C}$ ), and

<sup>1</sup>As discussed in class, the notation  $f_1 dx + f_2 dy$ , where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , is a common shorthand for the 1-form  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  sending  $\vec{x}$  to  $(\vec{x}, (f_1(\vec{x})dx + f_2(\vec{x})dy))$ .

<sup>2</sup>A contravariant functor from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is the same as a covariant functor from the *opposite category*  $\mathcal{C}^{op}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$ , hence the notation. We will not elaborate on this point more here.

- $G$  is compatible with compositions, in the sense that  $G(f) \circ G(g) = G(g \circ f)$  for any objects  $X, Y, Z$  and morphisms  $g \in \text{hom}(Y, Z)$ ,  $f \in \text{hom}(X, Y)$ .

In other words, a contravariant functor is specified by the same sort of data as a covariant functor, except the order of morphisms in the target is reversed in passing from the source to the target category.

(a) To any topological space  $M$ , define a category  $\mathbf{Open}(M)$  as follows:

- objects of  $\mathbf{Open}(M)$  are the open subsets  $U \subset M$ .
- Morphisms from  $U$  to  $V$  are *inclusions*, meaning that: if  $U$  is not contained in  $V$ , then  $\text{hom}(U, V) = \emptyset$ , and if  $U \subset V$ , then  $\text{hom}(U, V) = \{i_{UV} : U \hookrightarrow V\}$ , where  $i_{UV}$  simply denotes the inclusion map  $U \hookrightarrow V$ .
- Composition of morphisms  $\text{hom}(V, W) \times \text{hom}(U, V) \rightarrow \text{hom}(U, W)$  (which is only non-trivial if  $U \subset V \subset W$ ) is the usual composition of inclusions. Namely  $i_{VW} \circ i_{UV} = i_{UW}$ .

Verify that  $\mathbf{Open}(M)$  satisfies the axioms of a category.

(b) A **pre-sheaf** on  $M$  taking values in a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a functor

$$F : \mathbf{Open}(M)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}.$$

For instance, if  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  denotes the category of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras (objects are  $\mathbb{R}$  algebras,<sup>3</sup> and morphisms are  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphisms<sup>4</sup>), then a *pre-sheaf of  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras* on  $M$  is a functor  $F : \mathbf{Open}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold now, and define a functor  $C^\infty(-) : \mathbf{Open}(M)^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}$  by, on objects

$$U \rightarrow C^\infty(U),$$

and on the inclusions  $i_{UV} : U \rightarrow V$ , the induced map  $C^\infty(-)_{UV}(i_{UV}) \in \text{hom}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbb{R}}}(C^\infty(V), C^\infty(U))$  is the restriction map on functions.  $i_{UV}^* : C^\infty(V) \rightarrow C^\infty(U)$ .

Verify that  $C^\infty(-)$  is indeed a pre-sheaf of algebras, and in particular a contravariant functor.

(c) Verify that the notion of a pre-sheaf of algebras  $\mathcal{F}$  is equivalent to the following data:

- For every open set  $U \in M$ , an algebra  $\mathcal{F}(U)$ .
- For every inclusion of open sets  $U \subseteq V$ , a restriction map  $\rho_{U \subset V} : \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ , satisfying,  $\rho_{U \subset U} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$ , and for any triple  $U \subset V \subset W$ , that  $\rho_{U \subset V} \circ \rho_{V \subset W} =$

---

<sup>3</sup>Let  $k$  be any field. For our purposes, a *k-algebra*  $A$  is a vector space over  $k$  equipped with a multiplication map  $\times : A \times A \rightarrow A$  which is a bilinear map. We further assume that the multiplication map is associative, and that there is a multiplicative identity  $1 \in A$  satisfying  $1 \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in A$  (elsewhere, such  $A$  are frequently called *associative unital algebras*). You should verify for yourself that if  $U$  is any manifold, then  $C^\infty(U)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra in this sense.

<sup>4</sup>For our purposes, an *k-algebra homomorphism*  $F : A \rightarrow B$  is a linear map of vector spaces which is compatible with the multiplication maps, meaning that  $F(\alpha \cdot \beta) = F(\alpha) \cdot F(\beta)$ .  $F$  should also preserve the identity elements, so  $F(1) = 1$ ; this is frequently elsewhere called a *unital algebra homomorphism*. You should verify for yourself that if  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is any  $C^\infty$  map, then the pullback  $f^* : C^\infty(N) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra homomorphism

$\rho_{U \subset W}$ .

- (d) A pre-sheaf as defined in the previous section is said to be a *sheaf* if for any pair of open sets  $U, V$ , whenever there is an element  $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  and an element  $f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  with the same restriction on the overlapping region,<sup>5</sup> then there *exists* a *unique* element  $g \in \mathcal{F}(U \cup V)$  restricting to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  on  $U$  and  $V$ .<sup>6</sup>

Let  $M$  be a manifold. Verify that the pre-sheaf on  $M$ ,  $C^\infty(-)$  defined above is in fact a sheaf.

---

<sup>5</sup>meaning that  $\rho_{U \cap V \subset U}(f_1) = \rho_{U \cap V \subset V}(f_2)$

<sup>6</sup>meaning that  $\rho_{U \subset U \cup V}(g) = f_1$ ,  $\rho_{V \subset U \cup V}(g) = f_2$ .