

Universal coefficient theorems for homology and cohomology

Last time: X top. space $\xrightarrow{\text{last sen.}} C_*(X)$ singular homology chain complex (w/ \mathbb{Z} -coefficients).

$$\left\{ \cdots \rightarrow C_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1}(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow C_0(X) \rightarrow 0 \right\}$$

\uparrow
boundary operators

chain complex: $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n = 0$

(\leadsto singular homology $H_n(X) := \frac{\ker \partial_n}{\text{im } \partial_{n+1}}$)

From this, (rather than immediately take homology) we can form

the i^{th} singular co-chain group w/ G -coefficients (G any abelian group):

$$C^i(X; G) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_i(X), G) \quad (\text{could take } G = \mathbb{Z}, \text{ or something else}),$$

\uparrow
"Hom of Abelian groups = \mathbb{Z} -modules"

and the singular co-chain complex w/ G -coeffs.

$$C^*(X; G) = \left\{ \cdots \xleftarrow{\delta} C^n(X; G) \xleftarrow{\delta} C^{n-1}(X; G) \xleftarrow{\delta} \cdots \xleftarrow{\delta} C^0(X; G) \xleftarrow{\delta} 0 \right\}$$

$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_i(X), G)$ where $\delta_i = \partial_{i+1}^* = (-) \circ \partial_{i+1} : C^i(X; G) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(X; G)$ for all i .

Again we have $\delta \circ \delta = 0$ (called a co-chain complex),

\leftarrow added like differential maps, rather than locus degree

and can take $H^i(X; G) := \frac{\ker \delta^i : C^i(X; G) \rightarrow C^{i+1}(X; G)}{\text{im } \delta^{i-1} : C^{i-1}(X; G) \rightarrow C^i(X; G)}$.

Remarks: • For a pair (X, A) can similarly define $C^*(X, A; G)$ by taking $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_*(X, A); G)$.

• $C_i(X) := \bigoplus_{\sigma: \Delta^i \rightarrow X} \mathbb{Z} \langle \sigma \rangle$, \dots , $C_i(X) = \text{Free}(\text{Sing}^i(X))$

\leftarrow set of all singular simplices $\sigma: \Delta^i \rightarrow X$.

So $C^i(X; G) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_i(X), G)$

$= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Free}(\text{Sing}^i(X)), G)$

$= \text{Maps}_{\text{set}}(\text{Sing}^i(X), G)$.

e.g., $C^0(X; G) = \text{Maps}_{\text{set}}(\text{Sing}^0(X), G)$

$= \text{Maps}_{\text{set}}(X, G) = \text{functions from } X^{\text{discrete}} \rightarrow G$.

↑
X as a set (forgetting all topology).

- Any map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ induces $f_{\#}: C_0(X) \rightarrow C_0(Y)$ chain map, hence induces $f^* = (f_{\#})^* = (-) \circ f_{\#}: C^0(Y; G) \rightarrow C^0(X; G)$ a co-chain map (meaning again $f^* \circ \delta_Y = \delta_X \circ f^*$), hence a map $f^* = [f^*]: H^0(Y; G) \rightarrow H^0(X; G)$, i.e., $H^i(-; G)$ is contravariantly functorial. (as opposed to covariantly like H_i).
- (similarly for $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$, get f^* between cohomologies in opposite direction)

In light of the fact that $C^0(X; G)$ are determined as $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_0(X), G)$, we might ask:

Q: what's the relationship between $H^0(X; G)$ and $H_0(X)$?

To put things on level footing, let's recall we can also take singular chains w/ G -coeffs: (G any ab. group)

$$C_n(X; G) := C_n(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G, \text{ w/ induced } \partial := \partial \otimes \text{id}_G, \text{ and}$$

$$\leadsto H_0(X; G) \quad \text{implicitly } H_0(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

Q: what's the relationship between $H_n(X)$ and $H_n(X; G)$?

More generally, can consider any chain complex $C_{\bullet} = \{ \dots \rightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow 0 \}$;

Q: what's the relation between $\{H_n(C_{\bullet})\}_n$ and

$$\bullet \{H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_{\bullet}, G))\}_n ?$$

$$\bullet \{H_n(C_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G)\}_n ?$$

Example:

$$C = \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \text{deg 2} & & \text{deg 1} & & \text{deg 0} \\ & & & & & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\begin{matrix} [2, 0] \\ \partial_1 \end{matrix}} & \mathbb{Z} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \text{ has homology}$$

$$H_1 = \ker \partial_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

$$H_0 = \text{coker } \partial_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}): \quad 0 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z} \xleftarrow{\begin{matrix} [2] \\ \delta_0 \end{matrix}} \mathbb{Z} \leftarrow 0$$

↑
not linear duals of each other !!

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$$

$$H^4 = \text{coker } \delta_0 = \frac{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Z} \oplus 0} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

$$H^0 = \text{ker } \delta_0 = 0$$

Roughly speaking, it seems free part of H_i contributes to H^i ,
torsion part of H_{i-1} contributes to H^i .

$$C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2 : 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\leadsto H_i(C_0; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & i=1 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & i=0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{not } H_i(C_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/2!)$$

Exercise: look at $\text{Hom}(C, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ to see similar discrepancies

General C_0 :

Note there is a natural map

$$H^n = H^n(\text{Hom}(C_0, G)) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(C_0), G)$$

given by

$$\beta([f])([c]) := f(c).$$

(exercise: this is independent of choice of representatives of $[f]$ and $[c]$, i.e., note that

$$\begin{aligned} (f + \delta g)(c) &= f(c) + \delta g(c) = f(c) + g(\partial c) \quad (\text{b/c } \delta g = g \circ \delta) \\ &= f(c) \quad (\text{b/c } \partial c = 0). \end{aligned}$$

There's also a natural map

$$H_n(C_0) \otimes G \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_n(C_0 \otimes G)$$

$$\alpha([x] \otimes g) := [x \otimes g]$$

note $C_0(K)$ is free.

More refined question: how to measure failure of β, α to be isomorphisms?

Theorem: (universal coefficient theorem for cohomology) For any free chain complex C_0 (means each C_i is free),

there is a natural (functorial) SES for each n :

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C_0), G) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}(C_0, G)) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(C_0), G) \rightarrow 0$$

the map β from above

new term! sometimes called $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(H_{n-1}, G)$ (but we often leave $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{1}$ implicit; can leave $\mathbb{1}$ implicit b/c $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^k(A, B) = 0$ for $k > 1$).

Furthermore, this sequence splits (naturally in G , but not naturally in C_0).

Recall: A SES $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{j} C \rightarrow 0$ splits if $\exists k: C \rightarrow B$ w/ $j \circ k = \text{id}_C$

If k exists, it need not be unique, and k induces $A \oplus C \xrightarrow{(i, k)} B$, so get $B \cong A \oplus C$.

non-split ex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{i=x_2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{j=\text{projection}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0.$$

So UCT (+ a choice of splitting) gives

$$H^n(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_0, G)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_n(C_0), G) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C_0), G).$$

but this isomorphism is not natural in C_0 ; i.e., a chain map $f: C_0 \rightarrow C_0'$ induces a map of SES's above (in particular on cohomology), but not necessarily respecting the direct sum decomposition for any choice of splitting. (exercise).

Thm (UCT for homology): For a free chain complex C_0 , \exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_n(C_0) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_n(C_0 \otimes G) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}, G) \rightarrow 0$$

sometimes called $\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2$ (but can suppress \mathbb{Z} if implicit & $\mathbb{1}$ again b/c $\text{Tor}_{\geq 1}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong 0$).

natural (functorial) in C_0 (w/r/t. chain maps) and G . The sequence splits (naturally in G , but not in C_0).

The next goal is to define Ext/Tor, then we'll see how to prove UCT's.

For any R -modules M, N , can define $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$, with

\uparrow
commutative ring

only so we don't have to worry about left vs. right modules; R associative is otherwise sufficient.

$$\text{Ext}_R^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N), \quad \text{Tor}_0^R(M, N) = M \otimes_R N;$$

with $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ (resp. $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$) for $i > 0$ measuring "the failure at M of $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$ (resp. $(-) \otimes_R N$) to be 'exact'".

A functor f is exact if whenever have SES $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ then

- if f contravariant,

$0 \rightarrow f(C) \rightarrow f(B) \rightarrow f(A) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

• if f covariant

$0 \rightarrow f(A) \rightarrow f(B) \rightarrow f(C) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

— case of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}$

Note: If $0 \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{j} A'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact, the exercise:

ex: $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A'', B) \xrightarrow{j^*} \text{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Hom}(A', B)$ is exact, but

i^* need not be surjective, i.e., not every map $A' \rightarrow B$ is the restriction of a map $A \rightarrow B$, i.e., not every map $A' \rightarrow B$ extends to $A \rightarrow B$. (n.b. Ext stands for "extension").

counter-ex: $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0$ induces

$\text{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\times 2} \text{Hom}(A', B)$, which is not surjective.

Remark: If $0 \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{j} A'' \rightarrow 0$ is split SES, then in fact get a SES

$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A'', B) \xrightarrow{j^*} \text{Hom}(A, B) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Hom}(A', B) \rightarrow 0$.

|| \mathbb{Z} by splitting \nearrow easy to see this map surjects ("exact by 0").
 $\text{Hom}(A' \oplus A'', B)$

Similarly, if $0 \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{j} A'' \rightarrow 0$ exact, then we are guaranteed only that

$A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \xrightarrow{i \otimes id_B} A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \rightarrow A'' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B \rightarrow 0$ is exact; $i \otimes id_B$ need not be injective.

How to measure these "failures of exactness"?

Use projective (or injective) resolutions as a 'replacement' of our given group/module.

(particularly nice modules for which the above problems don't arise)

Def:

An R -module Q is an injective R -module if, for any injective map (of R -modules) $f: M \rightarrow N$,

and any map $g: M \rightarrow Q$ \exists

(SES) $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N$

"any g to Q extends along injectives".

\downarrow \swarrow $\exists h$ with $h \circ f = g$.

exercise: $\langle \implies \rangle$ if any SES $0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ splits.

exercise: $\langle \implies \rangle$ if $\text{Hom}_R(-, Q)$ is exact.

Def: An R -module P is projective if for any surjection $f: N \rightarrow M$ and any map $g: P \rightarrow M$, \exists :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{f} & M \rightarrow 0 & \text{(SES)} \\ & \nearrow \exists h & \uparrow g & \\ & & P & \text{(projective)} \end{array}$$

with $foh = g$.

"any g from P lifts along surjections."

$\langle \implies \rangle$ any ^{SES} $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ is split.

$\langle \implies \rangle$ $\text{Hom}(P, -)$ is exact.

Thm: (exercise or look up in a book): For a \mathbb{Z} -module M (i.e., an abelian group) (or more generally Mod \mathbb{Z}),

- M is injective iff it is divisible.

(an abelian group G is divisible if for any $g \in G$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g = n \cdot g'$ for some $g' \in G$)

ex: \mathbb{Q} , non-ex: \mathbb{Z} , or $\mathbb{Z}/2$

\leftarrow but $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is injective as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -module!

- M is projective iff it is free.

Cor: For a projective \mathbb{Z} -module P , given any injection $0 \rightarrow P' \rightarrow P$ (i.e., \hookrightarrow a submodule),

P' is projective too. (subgroups of free abelian groups are free abelian)

Similarly, if Q injective \mathbb{Z} -module, $Q \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow 0$, Q' injective too.

A projective resolution of an R -module M is an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

resp. (injective resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow \dots)$$

It turns out these resolutions always exist, and

as a consequence of Thm/cor above we deduce:

Any \mathbb{Z} -module (i.e., abelian group) M has a two-step projective (resp. injective) resolution

$$0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{why? Pick surjection } f: P_0 \rightarrow M \text{ \& have } 0 \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ \& proj. by cor})$$

(resp. $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow 0$).

Def: Given R -modules M, N , pick projective resolution of M

$$\dots \xrightarrow{f_1} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

take $\text{Hom}_R(-, N)$ of all P_i 's & f_i 's between them (but not of M):

$$\dots \xleftarrow{f_1^*} \text{Hom}(P_1, N) \xleftarrow{f_0^*} \text{Hom}(P_0, N) \leftarrow 0$$

This is no longer exact; however it's a chain complex: $f_{i+1}^* \circ f_i^* = 0$. (so $\ker f_{i+1}^* \supseteq \text{im } f_i^*$).

We define $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) := \frac{\ker f_i^*}{\text{im } f_{i-1}^*}$. Note $\text{Ext}_R^0(M, N) = \ker f_0^* \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$

(b/c $P_1 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_0, N) \xrightarrow{f_0^*} \text{Hom}(P_1, N)$$

This a priori depends on a choice (of proj. resolution);

however

Thm: $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ are independent of choices made and functorial in M/N .

Remark: Can also define $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ by injectively resolving N , exercise that the result is unchanged.

Cor: For \mathbb{Z} -modules M, N , $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(M, N) = 0$ for $i > 1$.

(b/c M admits a two-term proj. resolution $0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$).

Example computation (using theorem): let's compute, for abelian groups H, G (\mathbb{Z} -modules),

$\text{Ext}^{(s)}(H, G)$, where

• H free (i.e., projective): can use resolution: $0 \rightarrow \overset{0}{P_1} \rightarrow \overset{H}{P_0} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$

\Rightarrow Ext complex is:

$$0 \leftarrow \overset{\text{deg } 1}{0} \leftarrow \overset{\text{deg } 0}{\text{Hom}(P_0, G)} \leftarrow 0 \Rightarrow \text{Ext}(H, G) = 0.$$

• $H = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, use

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{x^n} \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

$\begin{matrix} \text{"} \\ P_1 \\ \text{"} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{"} \\ P_0 \\ \text{"} \end{matrix}$

$$\rightarrow \text{Ext chain complex: } 0 \leftarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \xleftarrow[S^0 = f^*]{x^n} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, G) \leftarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Ext}(H, G) = \text{coker}(S^0) = G/nG.$$

$$\begin{cases} \bullet \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/n \\ \bullet \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathbb{Q}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

- $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$, then we can add projective resolutions of H_1 & H_2 to get one for H
 $\Rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_1 \oplus H_2, G) = \text{Ext}(H_1, G) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_2, G).$

Similarly, to define $\text{Tor}_j^R(M, N)$, projectively resolve either M or N :

$$\underbrace{(\dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0)}_P \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0, \text{ then take } P \otimes_R N; \text{ again the result is a chain complex}$$

(no longer exact); j th homology is $\text{Tor}_j^R(M, N)$.

& same Thm: functional + independent of choice.

Sketch of proof of theorem on independence of Ext (resp. Tor) from choices:

All follows from "homotopical functionality of projective resolutions":

Thm: Say $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$ is a proj. resolution and $\bar{f}: M \rightarrow N$ is a map (of R -modules), and $Q_\bullet \rightarrow N$ a proj. resolution. Then there is a chain map $f_\bullet: P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet$ 'lifting' \bar{f} ,

meaning:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial^P} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial^P} & P_0 & \rightarrow & M \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow \exists f_2 & \downarrow \exists f_1 & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial^Q} & Q_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial^Q} & Q_0 & \rightarrow & N \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

making diagram commute.

and f_\bullet is unique up to homotopy equivalence (meaning if f, f' two lifts then $\exists h: P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_{\bullet+1}$ with $f - f' = \partial^Q \circ h + h \circ \partial^P$).

— This induces, for $f: M \rightarrow N$, a map f^* between co-chain complexes computing $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, S)$ & $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, S)$.

• To see ind. of choices, for this, let $N = M$, $\bar{f} = \text{id}$, P_\bullet, Q_\bullet two different resolutions

\Rightarrow get a chain map $f_\bullet: P_\bullet \rightarrow Q_\bullet$ lifting id , & here (by taking $\text{Hom}_R(-, S)$)

a chain map between Ext complexes, f^*

we also get a map $g: Q_\bullet \rightarrow P_\bullet$ & get g^* other way between Ext complexes:

By homotopical uniqueness, $f \circ g$ & $g \circ f$ are each homotopic to id_{Q_\bullet} , id_{P_\bullet} respectively, hence f^* , g^* induce isomorphisms on Ext groups

Sketch of proof of theorem: idea is to inductively use the projectivity condition:

inductively say we've constructed f_i, \dots, f_0 . (base case: \bar{f} itself $i = -1$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & P_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial^P} & P_i & \xrightarrow{\partial^P} & \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \\ & & \downarrow f_{i+1} & & \downarrow f_i & & \downarrow f_0 & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ \dots & \rightarrow & Q_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial^Q} & Q_i & \xrightarrow{\partial^Q} & \dots \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow N \end{array}$$

want: $\partial^Q \circ f_{i+1} = f_i \circ \partial^P$. Since sequences at top and bottom are exact, can restrict to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{i+1} \rightarrow \text{im}(\partial_{i+1}^P) \rightarrow 0 & & 0 \rightarrow \ker(\partial_i^P) \rightarrow P_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \text{by projectivity} & \text{map from proj.} & \downarrow f_i & \text{and} & \downarrow f_i & \downarrow f_{i-1} \\ Q_{i+1} \rightarrow \ker(\partial_i^Q) \rightarrow 0 & & & & 0 \rightarrow \ker(\partial_i^Q) \rightarrow Q_i \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Uniqueness up to homotopy? exercise. Similar inductive level-by-level construction of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & P_{i+1} & \rightarrow & P_i & \rightarrow & \dots \\ & & \downarrow f_{i+1} & & \downarrow f_i & & \\ \dots & \rightarrow & Q_{i+1} & \rightarrow & Q_i & \rightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

satisfying $\partial h + h \partial = f - f'$. □

Back to the universal coefficient theorem (for cohomology, for simplicity): C_\bullet free chain complex

wanted:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C_\bullet), G) \rightarrow H^n(\text{Hom}_Z(C_\bullet, G)) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Hom}_Z(H_n(C_\bullet), G) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\beta([f])([c]) = f(c)$. (exists lift $\dots \rightarrow S$)

Introduce some notation: $C_\bullet = \{ \dots \rightarrow C_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \}$

$$Z_n \subset C_n \quad \text{cycles} \quad Z_n = \ker \partial_n$$

$B_n \subset C_n$ boundaries $B_n = \text{im}(\partial_{n+1})$, so have two relevant SES:

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow Z_n \rightarrow H_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{by def'n of } H_n)$$

(proj. resolution) \uparrow
 $H_n(C_0)$

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z_n \xrightarrow{\text{incl.}} C_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} B_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$$

(by for any $\partial_n: A \rightarrow B$:
SES
 $0 \rightarrow \ker \partial_n \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{im} \partial_n \rightarrow 0$)

Lem: $Z \subset C$ Abelian groups, and say C/Z is free. Then every homomorphism $t: Z \rightarrow G$ extends to $\hat{t}: C \rightarrow G$. \square

(restatement of the fact that if $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{\partial} C/Z \rightarrow 0$ w/ C/Z projective, then $\text{Hom}(-, G)$ gives a SES \iff the SES is split).

β is surjective:

Take $s \in \text{Hom}_Z(H_n, G)$, so $s: \frac{Z_n}{B_n} \rightarrow G$, this induces

$$t: \begin{array}{ccc} Z_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G \\ & \searrow & \nearrow s \\ & Z_n/B_n & \end{array}$$

Note: since $B_n \subset Z_n \subset C_n$, $B_{n-1} \subset Z_n$ are free.

So Lem and (2) \Rightarrow t extends to $a: C_n \rightarrow G$, and $a|_{B_n} = t|_{B_n} = 0$.

This if $a(\partial(-)) = 0, \Rightarrow \delta a = 0$, so $[a] \in H^n(\text{Hom}(C, G))$ lifts s . Hence β is surjective.

Failure of β to be injective:

Say $[a] \in \ker(\beta) \subset H^n(\text{Hom}(C, G))$, a is a cocycle, meaning $a|_{B_n} \equiv 0$.

Being in $\ker(\beta)$ means $a(c) = 0$ for all cycles c , so $a|_{Z_n} \equiv 0$.

So a in that case induces

$$\frac{C_n}{Z_n} \rightarrow G.$$

//2 (2)

$$\bar{a} : B_{n-1}.$$

Given by $\bar{a}(\partial\alpha) := a(\alpha)$, $\bar{a} \in \text{Hom}(B_{n-1}, G)$.

Change a by a coboundary?

Suppose $a = \delta b$: (difference between two representatives), $b \in \text{Hom}(C_{n-1}, G)$

Then, $\bar{a}(\partial\alpha) = a(\alpha) = \delta b(\alpha) = b(\partial\alpha)$, i.e.,

$$\bar{a} = b|_{B_{n-1}}, \text{ where } b : C_{n-1} \rightarrow G.$$

Claim: a is a coboundary if and only if \bar{a} extends to C_{n-1} .

\Rightarrow above.

\Leftarrow : Say $\bar{a}(\partial\alpha) = b(\partial\alpha)$, $b : C_{n-1} \rightarrow G$.

$$\begin{matrix} \parallel & \parallel \\ a(\alpha) & \delta b(\alpha). \end{matrix}$$

$\Rightarrow a = \delta b$.

In fact, a is a coboundary $\Leftrightarrow \bar{a}$ extends to Z_{n-1}

(b/c by lemma, since $0 \rightarrow Z_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow B_{n-2} \rightarrow 0$,
 any map out of Z_{n-1} automatically extends to C_{n-1} .)

Get: a well-defined map

$$[a] \longmapsto \bar{a}$$

$$\ker(\beta) \xrightarrow{\text{is}} \text{Hom}(B_{n-1}, G)$$

"those Hom's $B_{n-1} \rightarrow G$ that extend to $Z_{n-1} \rightarrow G$."

this is $\text{Ext}(H_{n-1}, G)$,
by below!

well-defined by \Rightarrow , and injective by \Leftarrow .

(Observe $0 \rightarrow (B_{n-1} \xrightarrow{i} Z_{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ gives a projective resolution of H_{n-1} !

Taking $\text{Hom}(P, G)$: we get

$$0 \leftarrow \text{Hom}(B_{n-1}, G) \xleftarrow{i^*} \text{Hom}(Z_{n-1}, G) \leftarrow 0, \text{ and } \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}, G) := \text{coker}(i^*) = \text{Hom}(B_{n-1}, G) / i^* \text{Hom}(Z_{n-1}, G)$$

Surjectivity of β : Given $\theta: B_{n-1} \rightarrow G$, define α .

$$\alpha: C_n \xrightarrow{\partial} B_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\theta} G, \text{ i.e., } \alpha(\alpha) = \theta(\beta\alpha)$$

α by definition annihilates $Z_n \Rightarrow$ annihilates $B_n \Rightarrow \alpha$ a cocycle.

And moreover $[\alpha] \in \ker \beta$ with $[\alpha] \mapsto \theta$.

So in conclusion, get a SES:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & E = \ker(\beta) & \longrightarrow & H^n(\text{Hom}(C_n, G)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H_n(C_n), G) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \cong & & & & \\
 & & \text{Hom}(B_{n-1}, G) & & & & \\
 & & \swarrow \cong & & & & \\
 & & \text{Hom}(Z_{n-1}, G) & & & & \\
 & & \parallel & & & & \\
 & & \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}, G) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

This sequence further splits (continued).